

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/602,796 | | 06/24/2003 | James G. Horian | an JHORIAN.001A 1508 | |
| 20995 | 7590 | 05/22/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | NS OLSON & BE | SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A | | |
| 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3653 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/602,796 | HORIAN, JAMES G. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jeffrey A. Shapiro | 3653 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe | <u>ebruary 2006</u> . | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 03 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-18 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| · — | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-15,19 and 21-28</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement | | | | |
| 0) | are subject to restriction and/or | · election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| • — | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) <u>□</u> a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) X Infor | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/03. | Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/602,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in the reply filed on 2/27/06 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-15, 19 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For example, on p.5, in paragraph (31), lines 2 and 3, protrusion (54) is mentioned as being configured to engage the coins against a wall (42a) by movement through apertures (57a and b). However this appears to not be reflected in the drawings. It appears that protrusion (54) is an elliptical shape, and that apertures (56a and b) are the apertures that accept protrusion (54).

Please check the rest of the specification for concurrence with the drawings and the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aschenbeck et al (US 4,693,357). Aschenbeck discloses, as described in Claims 1-4, a coin drop mechanism for operating a newspaper vending machine, said mechanism having a coin channel (24 or 26), an actuator (28) or (130 and 30) that protrude into said channels through an aperture, the final coin deposited in the chute blocking said aperture to complete a purchase. See also figures 3-7.

See, for example, figure 7 which illustrates actuator (28) blocked by a top-most coin in the coin chute.

Regarding the pivoting of the channels, note slidable members (146) with pivots (148 and 150) and spring (154) in figures 3 and 4. Note figure 4 that illustrates the pivoting.

Regarding an actuator depressed by the user, note that it is inherent that an actuator or the equivalent, either depressed by the user or automatically actuated would cause a mechanism to move to engage said coin blocking the actuator opening/aperture, since the system and mechanism would work substantially similar to Applicant's device.

Regarding said product support member described in Claims 1-4 and the flag member described in Claim 5, Aschenbeck's device necessarily operates product support member to dispense items. Note also that the opening of a lock device by

Application/Control Number: 10/602,796 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

addition of the final coin can be argued to be substantially the same as Applicants' product support since it acts to dispense a purchased product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aschenbeck. Aschenbeck discloses the apparatus described above. Aschenbeck does not expressly disclose, but Pepiciello discloses an actuator (32) that releases a product in the form of a newspaper when the proper change is inserted.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used Pepiciello's actuator mechanism in Aschenbeck's newspaper dispenser, said actuator being actuated by Aschenbeck's coin mechanism upon the insertion of correct change.

The suggestion/motivation for using Pepiciello's teaching would have been to prevent the machine from being operational after the machine becomes empty. See Pepiciello, col. 1, lines 25-32.

8. Claims 2-5 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aschenbeck in view of Wenner (US 4,591,042). Aschenbeck discloses the apparatus described above. Aschenbeck does not expressly disclose, but Wenner discloses a contoured coin channel, as shown in figure 1, for example.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a contoured coin channel instead of a linear coin channel.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to accommodate more coins in the same plane as the linear coin channel.

Note also that Aschenbeck discusses the need for flexibility to switch from lower priced goods to higher priced goods, ie., from the daily paper to the Sunday paper.

Higher priced goods require more coins, therefore one ordinarily skilled in the art would find it obvious in light of Wenner's disclosure to use a contoured, winding coin path to store more coins than the Aschenbeck's linear coin paths could accommodate by themselves.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 6-15 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford can be reached on (571)272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,796

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAS

May 15, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6